

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,402	08/19/2003	Sten Kvist	Strom.7267	3995
	7590 12/07/2007	EXAMINER		
225 FRANKLI	CONNORS, LLP N STREET		WONG, LESLIE A	
SUITE 2300 BOSTON, MA 02110		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA	. 02110		1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/643,402	KVIST ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	/Leslie Wong/	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Second 2a) This action is FINAL 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29-41 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28,42 and 43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Application/Control Number:

10/643,402 Art Unit: 1794

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-27, 42, and 43 in the reply filed on September 18, 2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

It is noted that with the amendment of September 18, 2007, amended claim 28 will be added to Group I and examined in this action.

It is again noted that claims 29-39 are directed to a "use" wherein a "use" is nonstatutory subject matter. If necessary, claims 29-39 may be added to the election/restriction requirement.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-28, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bjurenvall (US 7,005,155) in view of Burrows et al (US 4435429).

Bjurenvall disclose a process for manufacturing a cereal product wherein bran is fractionated into glucose, protein, and fiber (see Figure 2). Bjurenvall disclose a process where the bran obtained from milling is subjected to enzyme hydrolysis using amylase and amyloglucosidase at a pH of 4.5 to 5.2 and a temperature of 50 to 75°C.

10/643,402

Art Unit: 1794

whereby glucose syrup, protein, and bran are obtained as end products (see entire patent, especially column 2, line 53 to column 4, line 5).

The claims differ as to the specific recitation of centrifugation.

Burrows et al disclose a cereal grain fractionation where centrifugation is used to separate fractions (see entire patent, especially column 4, lines 3-10).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use centrifugation as taught by Burrows et al in that of Bjurenvall because the use of centrifugation to separate fractions is conventional and well-known in the cereal grain art. Applicant is using known components and process steps to obtain no more than expected results.

With respect to Applicant's limitations as to percent protein, sugar, oil, and fiber within the fractions it is not seen that this would differ from that of the prior art as the same components and steps are used. It is further noted that the use and manipulation of enzymes to hydrolyze cereals is conventional in the art. The amounts employed are no more than a matter of choice.

Goering et al (US 5013561) is cited as of interest to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

Application/Control Number:

10/643,402

Art Unit: 1794

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1794

LAW

December 3, 2007